

1 DANIEL J. BERGESON, Bar No. 105439  
[dbergeson@be-law.com](mailto:dbergeson@be-law.com)  
2 MELINDA M. MORTON, Bar No. 209373  
[mmorton@be-law.com](mailto:mmorton@be-law.com)  
3 MICHAEL W. STEBBINS, Bar No. 138326  
[mstebbins@be-law.com](mailto:mstebbins@be-law.com)  
4 COLIN G. MCCARTHY, Bar No. 191410  
[cmccarthy@be-law.com](mailto:cmccarthy@be-law.com)  
5 BERGESON, LLP  
303 Almaden Boulevard, Suite 500  
6 San Jose, CA 95110-2712  
Telephone: (408) 291-6200  
7 Facsimile: (408) 297-6000  
8 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
VERIGY US, INC.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN JOSE DIVISION

13 VERIGY US, INC, a Delaware Corporation  
14 Plaintiff,  
15 vs.  
16 ROMI OMAR MAYDER, an individual;  
17 WESLEY MAYDER, an individual; SILICON  
18 TEST SYSTEMS, INC., a California Corporation;  
and SILICON TEST SOLUTIONS, LLC, a  
California Limited Liability Corporation,  
inclusive,  
19 Defendants.

Case No. C07 04330 RMW (HRL)

Judge: Hon. Ronald M. Whyte  
Ctrm.: 6, 4<sup>th</sup> Fl.

Complaint Filed: August 22, 2007  
Trial Date: None Set

## AND RELATED CROSS-ACTIONS

1 Now before the Court is Verigy's Administrative Motion for Leave to File Documents  
2 Under Seal. Upon consideration of the Administrative Motion for Leave to File Documents Under  
3 Seal and the supporting declaration of Colin G. McCarthy filed therewith, the Court finds good  
4 cause for granting Verigy's request to file documents under seal. The Court finds that:

5 (1) The parties possess overriding confidentiality interest that overcomes the  
6 right of public access to the record in the following documents:

7 (2) Portions of Verigy Inc.'s Motion for Summary Adjudication of its First  
8 Claim for Relief for Breach of Contract and Twelfth Claim for Relief for  
9 Declaratory Relief; Memorandum of Points and Authorities (the "MSA");  
10 and

11 (3) Exhibits B, C, D, E, F, G, H, K, L, M, N, O, P, Q, R, and S to the  
12 Declaration of Michael W. Stebbins in support of Verigy Inc.'s Motion for  
13 Summary Adjudication of its First Claim for Relief for Breach of Contract  
14 and Twelfth Claim for Relief for Declaratory Relief

15 (4) The parties' overriding confidentiality interests support sealing the record;

16 (5) A substantial probability exists that the parties' overriding confidentiality  
17 interest will be prejudiced if the record is not sealed;

18 (6) The proposed sealing is narrowly tailored; and

19 (7) No less restrictive means exist to achieve this overriding interest.

20 IT IS ORDERED that Verigy's Motion for Leave to File Documents Under Seal is  
21 GRANTED.

22 SO ORDERED.

23 Dated: \_\_\_\_\_, 2008

24 \_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Ronald M. Whyte  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

25

26

27

28